

Alan Watt
"Cutting Through The Matrix" Live On RBN (#247)

Poem Copyright Alan Watt Feb. 2, 2009:

Coming Mandatory Snip and Suture for Sustainable Future:

"Well-Funded Sustainability Moves to the Fore,
Encompassing World with Malthusian Lore,
Greening Gurus, Media-Hyped, Future Not Good,
Lower Gene Pools will Procreate, Consuming All Food,
Visions Apocalypse, Starvation, So Damning,
Their Answer--More Aid into 'Family Planning,'
And Governments Enforcing Family Size,
Gradually Decreasing To All Our Demise,
For the Real Agenda by These Men of Wealth
Has been War on the People by Lying and Stealth,
With False Statistics, Terms Vague and Demure,
Hiding Eugenacists' Plan to Eradicate Poor,
Beware Philanthropists' Show, Polite and Charmin',
Read 'The Next Million Years,' by Charles Galton Darwin"
© Alan Watt Feb. 2, 2009

Monday February 2nd 2009

**Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - Feb. 2, 2009 (Exempting Music,
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)**

cuttingthroughthematrix.com

alternate sites:

cuttingthroughthematrix.net , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European
languages for print up:

alanwattsentientsentinel.eu

mirror site:

cuttingthrough.jenknness.com

**Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and
DONATIONS:**

Canada and America: PayPal, Cash, personal checks &
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, **INTERNAL** postal
money orders
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green
internal one.)

Outside the Americas: PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

Click the link below for your location (ordering info):

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and we're just Cutting Through The Matrix on the 2nd of February 2009.

For those newcomers, who always come into the show, every time I'm on, I advise them to go into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com that's the website where I try to give you the histories of the big system in which we live and how we got to be this way. And I try to show you, again giving you short-cuts, how there are big associations (totally intertwined with each other) which by bypassed any idea of democracy at the very beginning; and, they have unlimited funding, foundations galore, many fronts / dummy organisations across the whole planet. They finance the universities; they finance the sciences, in the right directions, always the same directions mind you; and, they know where they are going, where they want to take the whole planet. These are the same foundations, and people behind them, that used to call themselves eugenicists, openly. In the early 1900s, they had eugenics societies, funded by these same people. Now, they hide behind the foundations and philanthropy, but they're 'doing good' for the planet; meanwhile they are simply carrying out an agenda, world-wide and we are living through this next phase, a complete revolution. There's a revolution, to take over the entire planet, into a system that's familiar to us but they don't use the same terms, therefore people are confused, they don't really understand it, they think it's a world economic system, terms like that are thrown out but it's actually a world communism, run by a small elite at the top, the same way as the Soviet Union was run and the same way that China is still run today. Just omit the terms and the public never catch on, it's so simple, so simple; and, we are now in the trap and the trap has been sprung and everybody across the whole planet, every politician, every leader across the world is on board this big ship. They're on track together, it took a long time to prepare this and they're pulling it off as we live right now.

Also, look into www.alanwattsentientsentinel.eu for transcripts which you can download of these particular talks I've given in the past, print them up and they're written in the various languages of Europe.

I should remind you all too, that you bring me to you because that's the only thing that keeps me going, I don't ask for money from any of the shows I'm on, therefore, the listeners support me and you can go into www.cuttingthroughthematrix.com and either donate, that keeps me going, or you can buy what I have up there for sale; and, you'll find information very interesting, because we've been conned our whole lives. We're still being conned, again, with the use of incredible science, science of the complete understanding of human nature, right down to how opinions are formed and how to make sure that everyone gets the same opinion, there's a science behind it.

We find big players who understood this science in the past, talked about it, Brzezinski talked about it when he said people will come to conclusions and opinions and never know how they arrived at them. They'll think they do but they don't reason them out, they get downloaded by the media, that is, itself, worked by these particular sciences and also through education; education was so fundamental, to create a global society, a world society.

Last Friday, I talked about Julian Huxley, who was a top eugenicist, who was the first director general for UNESCO; read all you can about UNESCO and what he talked about when he set it up, it's all been completed now. Back, after these messages, with more.

== BREAK ==

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt Cutting Through The Matrix. This last while, I've been giving you insights into how whole societies are managed, how propagandists basically, under different names of course, marketing strategists and so on etc, gave us the culture, working with the CIA. And, I've given the references and books to get a hold of, that they've now allowed to be published, it's always way after the fact, but, don't forget, they're still controlling the culture. The culture you live was given to you, because, again, money and those in all of the arts, are directed in which direction to go and the public follow suit, thinking it's developing by itself.

Back in the 1800s, and early 1900s, when Julian Huxley and others in the eugenicist movement were on the go, they were all in agreement about all the same things and that was population reduction and the right for a scientific elite to come in and take over the entire world, and run it the way that they thought it should be run. To run it without any superstition of religion, as they said; therefore, religion had to be knocked out. Julian Huxley actually said (in the book that I read from last week, his own book, *Man Stands Alone*), that religion was ok but the God part would have to be removed from it, because, after all, what he didn't say was, but he inferred it: they could have no competition, these are going to be your new gods and they are your new gods; and, how did that all happen? It didn't take that long, these guys work in centuries remember, but it didn't take long at all, to go through the twentieth-century and bring us all down to a system, a system which is actually called Secular Humanism. You see, everyone out there doesn't realise that we've all had our indoctrination, from school and through television and all the nature programmes and all the propaganda, and that's what it is, propaganda, to become secular humanists.

It's a science, creating a culture is a science, where everyone will think and say the same things, as Brzezinski said. Whatever's thrown out to us, is what we prattle on about; and, we're even given always two sides of things, knowing we'll always come to the same side eventually. The whole point is to get us talking what they want to get us to talk about, that's part of culture creation.

I think at Christmas time, there was a well-publicised case, I think from Switzerland, where an elderly person had asked for euthanasia and this particular group obliged and they televised it and, all over the world, definitely in Britain and some places, pensioners were watching that and thinking that it was a wonderful thing to die with dignity and that's what they called it. And, of course, what they didn't 'get' at all was this is all a move to allow government (I'm talking about world government too, because they're all one already, they're all on board), government wants the right to say who lives and who dies. Remember what they've already said, under Sustainability, at the United Nations, a good citizen, a good world citizen, is a good producer and consumer. When you stop producing, you're just a consumer and that's not very sustainable. So people better start thinking when they go along with these things; they never think through to where they're supposed to go. Again Brzezinski said: the public will expect their media to do the reasoning for them and that, unfortunately, has happened as well.

We are in a secular humanist society, there is no opposition to this, religion was under attack, for an awful long time, by these particular groups, even for a few centuries and there's no position left to them and now they have a secularised

society who've adopted the humanistic terminology, viewpoints and so on; and yet, if you ask them how they go to that conclusion, or those conclusions, they'd tell you they can't really remember. It's from a thousand, maybe ten thousand articles and newsbytes, that's where it comes from; that's where your opinions all come from. That's how simple it is, for those who control the media to do what they do.

Do you know, the Rothschilds bought over Reuters, I think in the late 1800s; they then bought over AP news as well (Associated Press) and those are the two main sources for all information across the planet; everyone parrots that. And, in the UK, the foundations were started up by Rothschilds; in the United States it was the Rockefellers, who still run it today and they fund thousands of other organisations, across the world, and many front dummy foundations as well, that they can funnel money through to other organisations.

Really, this revolution is in the open today, out in the open and only can they come out now and tell us what they really want, when they have us in secular humanism, we accept it. Another part of it too is the creation of apathy. From the Sunday Times February 1st 2009:

Two children should be limit, says green guru.

We've green gurus now you see; even the title makes you think of some sort of holy man, this is the new religion, the greening. It's like the Green Man of Freemasonry, check that out, see how far back that goes, and the rules of nature. This is by Sarah-Kate Templeton, Health Editor

COUPLES who have more than two children are being 'irresponsible' by creating an unbearable burden on the environment, the government's green adviser has warned.

They're using the same tactics they used in China; now, remember, China was to be the model state, according to the UN, for the world, and, that's what they said in China: you were anti-social if you have another child, you are taking the food from other people. Now they're using the same technique and saying it's unsustainable, you're being irresponsible because you're creating an unbearable burden on the environment.

Jonathon Porritt, who chairs the government's Sustainable Development Commission, says curbing population growth through contraception and abortion must be at the heart of policies to fight global warming.

It's right out in the open now. See, we've not been dying off fast enough, we've been getting poisoned, injected and sterilised, and I've gone through all of that stuff and documentation, but it's not fast enough for them; and, it's almost like, you know, the hunting pack going in for the kill now, they're right out in the open, because they know they have no opposition. People are so apathetic, they'll accept anything now.

He says political leaders and green campaigners

You know, all these NGOs.

should stop dodging the issue of environmental harm caused by an expanding population.

Now, the same United Nations, comes out every year and they tell us that the populations of Europe and the Americas, especially the US and Canada, have been dropping and hence the need for increased immigration, to pay off the debt that's accumulated, that's the excuse that's given. So, any expanding population is part of the agenda, written about over a hundred years ago.

A report by the commission, to be published next month, will say that governments must reduce population growth through better family planning.

You know what that means.

"I am unapologetic about asking people to connect up their own responsibility for their total environmental footprint

Environmental footprint, you know where we should kick your particular footprint don't you?

and how they decide to procreate and how many children they think are appropriate," Porritt said.

That's from your green. Now, every government department now has a green guru, this big dictator, the greening and I've told you before, if you thought the previous religions were bad, down through history, you ain't seen nothing, you ain't seen nothin' yet, as to what's coming down, nothing at all.

There's also this article that ties right in with it and it's to do with the economy, the world economy, from the Herald Tribune, International Herald Tribune, in fact, global edition of the New York Times, apparently. It's from Davos:

Is Europe's welfare system a model for the 21st century?

By Katrin Bennhold published Tuesday, January 27, 2009

DAVOS, Switzerland: Along with skiing and partying into the night, Europe-bashing has long been a favorite sport when the world's business and political elite gather here for their once-a-year winter schmoozefest.

This is for the World Economic Forum. The W.E.F., which is 'Few' backwards, it's for the few who own the planet, basically.

But this year, many of the critics have fallen conspicuously silent. As top executives, government leaders and a wide range of experts gathered Tuesday for the World Economic Forum to talk about the challenges facing the battered global economy, the question many were asking was this: Could the much-maligned social welfare system in Europe end up being the model for the 21st century?

They're talking about global, a global system here.

In the United States, the global stock market rout has wiped out trillions of dollars in retirement savings, and rising unemployment is leaving more people without health insurance. In response, officials in the administration of President Barack Obama have been busy studying the Swedish bank bailout of the 1990s and the Swiss and Dutch health care systems. On the environmental front, the officials have been quietly contemplating whether

Europe's high fuel taxes and carbon trading system are the right way to limit the burning of fossil fuels that contributes

Here's the punch that they always use, repetition, you know, weapons of mass destruction.

that contributes to global warming.

Which still hasn't been proven yet you see.

For China, where the demise of the American market has brought to light the perils of excessive savings at home, the government has not only recently proposed a big Keynesian-style stimulus program but has also just announced a three-year plan to provide universal health care.

Well, they already have a system in China for universal health care but it only does one thing, for those who've studied it. Under the UN Charter, remember, it says that eventually everyone will have the minimum health care available, world-wide; and that's what they mean by it, minimum and it won't be you asking for help, it will be them telling you what you must do, with their little snip here and there. I'll be back with more on this topic, from this article, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, Alan Watt Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article about this World Economic Forum and what's coming out of it; and, you've already noticed, I'm sure, that every major leader from every country, is giving the same speech. We're all global now and they always tie it in with global warming and all the tariffs that have to come, all these tariffs and taxes, to do with global warming, carbon footprints and all the rest.

What's the chances of a depression suddenly being kicked off, a financial depression, world-wide, while the same boys who run the banks and caused the depression, and they're still up and running, with no problem at all with the big foundations they own, pushing for this global taxation and the fact that we all have to live according to ecology, to nature, the proper way, not too many people; and, after all, we are post-industrial aren't we? These guys know exactly what they're doing. What are the chances, in a free society, that people would be trying to increase the burden on the public when they've just lost their economy? Why would they be doing that? Well, it's to intensify it into the next phase, that's what I'm saying, we're going through a revolution, a long-planned revolution, where everyone will be in service to a world state and money is just the means to get you there. Big boys at the top don't need money, but it's important that we believe in it because we work for it you see, we're trained to work for it.

This journalist says:

"When the world's biggest economy and the world's biggest emerging economy look for lessons in the same place at the same time, you know something is up," said Kenneth Rogoff, a professor at Harvard and former chief economist of the International Monetary Fund,

That's the bunch along with the Bank for International Settlements, that Quigley said was the top of this pyramid, this big foundation scam across the planet, in the new feudal system he talked about that they're bringing in. It is a feudal system,

where the C.E.Os of these big foundations and so on, you know the ones with intelligence and scientific backgrounds, have the right to plan the world for us and what you do in it. That's what it's all about.

who is one of the 2,500 participants in Davos this year. "We are seeing a paradigm shift towards a more European, a more social state."

Then he goes through some of the history, to make this all seem real: the Great Depression of the 1930s ushered in the Keynesian-style side policies; and, of course, I went through Keynes and the fact that it was Keynes part two, as far as Bretton Woods went, which they talked about the next one being necessary to bring in the next part of the system; and; they actually hoped, eventually, there'd be no need for money eventually, when we'd all serve this world system. That's how far back it goes, we're just living through a script here. Then:

The oil price shocks of the 1970s.

Well, there was no shortage of oil in the '70s at all, the US were making demands, to make sure that everyone traded in petro-dollars and they've changed, in the last 15, 10 years or so, to the Euro; and, that's what all this is about today.

At the opening of the 2008 World Economic Forum, a front-page article in the International Herald Tribune suggested that global capitalism was again ripe for such a generational transformation. Amid the worst financial crisis since the Depression, that transformation is now in full swing. With whole swaths of the banking sector being propped up by trillions of dollars in taxpayer funds

That's called looting.

and hundreds of billions more being dedicated to deficit-financed public spending programs across the world, the most striking feature so far is the comeback of big government.

Oh yeah, because they said they'd have to have a massive government. Many of those in the inside, at the top, a long time ago, 1920s, talked about this phase of it: massive government. You need a small fascist few at the top you see and the massive communistic-style bureaucracy to run the rest of the public. That was all written about long-ago, in this agenda, by those at the top. You know the ones who are more advanced, that are more evolved than the rest of us.

In the United States, the world's largest and most emblematic market economy, the surge in the growth of the government is going to be financed by a huge increase in borrowing, projected to grow to as much as 10 percent of gross domestic product this year and into 2010 from 3 percent last year.

Of course, don't forget that in 2010, that the US, Canada and Mexico and maybe Chile may come in, are to be totally merged, completely, in fact, they'll use this as part of the reason for the final merger. We've got to be completely integrated. 2001 started off Fortress America, integration of security systems and data-sharing; and, this is the next part, this total integration.

"We're moving back towards a mixed economy," said Daniel Yergin, chairman of Cambridge Energy Research Associates in Cambridge, Massachusetts, and the co-author of "The Commanding Heights," a history of

the last-such paradigm shift, the one toward wider acceptance of the market-driven economy.

The new shift is likely to go well beyond expensive short-term fixes. The ferment suggests that ultimately the United States may move closer to Europe,

Well, we know that they're going to combine us with Europe because that's part of their agenda; I've read the articles. The Prime Minister of Canada also mentioned that too. Once you've unification of the Americas, we then unite with the European bloc. You can also find that in Karl Marx's writings in the 1800s, just by coincidence, of course.

altering the trickle-down economic doctrine of the past three decades and establishing a new social contract

A new social contract, a New Deal you see.

aimed at narrowing the gap between the rich and the rest of society,

You see, they're going to flatten all of society, except for those at the top, that was also part of their deal, because they hated the middle-classes, hated them with passion, it's through all their writings.

Obama, who called for a "watchful eye" on the market in his inaugural speech last week, wants to make health insurance available to all Americans.

Remember, that's to be the big stick, because they'll use the health industry to run your life, and your procreation too, by the way. Back with more - after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, going though the World Economic Forum and getting little snippets from them, we know the agenda. I've been talking about the agenda for years and spelling it out for people before it happens and truly when you've read all the books, well, at least the ones you can get your hands on, then nothing surprises you, you expect it. How else do you take over a planet, you do it incrementally, incrementally, very slow, Fabian style; and when you have the right populations all brainwashed, the right generation brainwashed to the maximum, then you can do anything with them; they have created apathy across the planet and it's essential ingredient, according to Bertrand Russell, to bring all this about. We're easily led then, we ask for help from the guys who abuse us, it's that simple. It says here:

As Pascal Lamy, director general of the World Trade Organization and another Davos regular, put it, "It's a cultural

Listen:

"It's a cultural revolution."

What was it that Carroll Quigley said, the purpose of war is to alter the cultures on both sides. Then it says here at the end, and they're giving you clues all along the way:

It is no coincidence that this revolution

Revolution, revolution, revolution.

is unfolding simultaneously in the United States and China, analysts say.

Quite something isn't it? And, then you tie it in, as I say: they're on a roll now you see: they're hitting us with the real agenda, the real agenda from every quarter, constantly, and they're not going to let up, there's going to be daily articles churned out for us to prattle on about, and people will come to the correct conclusions, they always do, in every society and every age; they give us the proper things to believe and we believe properly, as Mr. Charles Forte said. It's a science. This is from the BBC, Monday the 2nd February 2009

Population: The elephant in the room,

It says VIEWPOINT by John Feeney, who's an environmentalist. It doesn't say much about this guy, but I'll guarantee you he's a front man for one of the big organisations, like WOA, look into that one, because his terminology is exactly the same as spouted out from the Department of Population Control at the United Nations.

Uncontrolled population growth threatens to undermine efforts to save the planet,

Save the planet, you see.

warns John Feeney. In this week's Green Room,

The Green Room, you see, the Green Man, the Green Room.

he calls on the environmental movement to stop running scared of this controversial topic.

He's appealing to all the brainwashed groups that they've created and set up there, with all the radicals in them, the ones that are petrified that the world's ending tomorrow. That's the worst kind to have, they're fanatics you see. He says:

It's the great taboo of environmentalism: the size and growth of the human population.

They give you a picture; I think it's of a train station, probably in Bombay.

It has a profound impact on all life on Earth, yet for decades it has been conspicuously absent from public debate.

Well, they really mean is: we're not talking enough about it at the bottom level; and, they want us to talk about it, so that than when they introduce the ideas that we will adopt, it'll all seem quite natural to us. You see, that's how it works, very simple.

Most natural scientists

Natural scientists? I guess there's unnatural ones out there too, in that case.

agree our growing numbers and our unchecked impact on the natural environment move us inexorably toward global calamities of unthinkable

severity.

It's funny that, because I watched a video where Mr. Rockefeller, old Mr. Rockefeller was talking on about this very thing, as Huxley was a hundred years ago and so on. Same thing, it's just never changed. How a species of animals can outgrow its food supply and then another species moves in to take over etc etc and they liken it to fields and flowers and what moves in and what moves out at different times etc etc. It's that simple to these guys, apparently. Mind you, these same guys have the controlling shares in the Five Agri food business that have taken over the world's food supply, so they will bring, they will bring, I'll guarantee you, a food crisis upon us, it's part of the plan. They always get the victim to blame himself and it works, it works beautifully. It says here:

They agree the need to address population has become desperate. Yet many environmentalists

These are special people, environmentalists, you understand, you belong to an environmental group and suddenly you're holy, you're a holy person and you're all green, the greener the better, whatever you say is like guru from God speaking.

avoid the subject, a few objecting strongly to any focus on our numbers. Some activists insist acting to influence population growth infringes on human rights; they maintain that it is best to leave the problem alone.

He's telling them to change their tone you see. He says:

Let's dispense with this confused notion right now. Yes, there have been past abuses in the name of "population control".

He admits that part.

There have been abuses of health care and education too,

Well, he knows that too, since his cohorts have been in on the act from giving us education and abusing us and the healthcare as well

but the idea of reacting by abandoning any of these causes is absurd. We can learn from past abuses, reducing the likelihood of fresh problems arising in the future. In fact, those working on population issues have done so.

Do you realise we were all playing and watching the Rose Bowl, the economy's collapsing, we're being sterilised and they're talking about the coming pandemics and all these terror-fearful things and no food, people are watching the Rose Bowl; that means that the mercury intake, which has been disclosed today, on their brain, has worked. To continue with this article though, it says:

Today, they recognise that the methods with the best track records of reducing population growth are, by their nature, respectful and promoting of human rights.

Do you understand culling your numbers, culling you down is promoting human rights, there's you Orwellian double-speak right there. This is what Orwell was warning us about: guys like this guy; and, check into to him, do a search and find out who funds him, you'll be, well, maybe you won't be surprised. It says here:

They include educating girls and women in developing countries to help

empower them.

We've heard all this terminology for years now, empower them?!

This is achieved by providing more options, using media strategies to make them aware

Propaganda, is media strategies, propagandising; Bernays again.

of alternatives regarding family sizes and family planning.

What he's not saying is that, guys like this guy, have decided you're going to have small families, whether you like it or not, or none at all. In fact, they prefer none at all. Look at our societies; they've been demolished, because we've had a hundred year's war on us. Everyone's dysfunctional. The family was the major obstacle for them, getting in their way in fact. Well, look around you, look around you. He says:

Those who oppose talking about the world's population are obstructing the further provision of such services

Services?

and resources. Last chance saloon. Fundamentally,

They like fundamentals and foundations, because they back them.

we need to ask what is the greater threat to human welfare: the possibility that humane efforts to address population growth might be abused, or our ongoing failure to act to prevent hundreds of millions, even billions, dying as a result of global ecological collapse?

Economy, ecology, study them all, they're all the same. Go into the bankers' philosophy, their religion and ask who Malthus worked for and how they came to their conclusions. He says:

It's no far-fetched possibility. Increasingly, environmental scientists insist we have overshoot the Earth's carrying capacity.

You see, this is their pabulum.

I believe they are right; the proof is everywhere. Our inability to live as we do, at our current numbers, without causing pervasive environmental degradation is the very definition of carrying capacity overshoot.

Who, who runs the foundations? Look at the families, look at the factories and the systems they've owned over the last hundred years. Who caused all the degradation? Who owns the farms today? You'll see the same names and the same families running the foundations that are telling us it's our fault. Astonishing isn't it?

Overshoot, we know, is followed by population decline. As we have learned from other species,

This is the same thing that Huxley went on about, three-quarters of his book, telling you how it affects other species from ants to worms and everything else and he lumps us along in the same category. He says:

this manifests itself initially with a crash.

Oh!

For humanity, this portends a potential cataclysm exceeding anything in our history.

Ooooooh, ooooooh.

Our chance to avert such an outcome depends on our ability to address our numbers before nature reduces them for us. There's no other way out. Merely reducing per capita consumption, for instance, won't do it.

Maybe starving us to death, you know, that alone won't do it.

After all, per capita consumption levels multiply with population size to determine our total resource consumption. Just look at the data from the Global Footprint Network group

I wonder what size shoes they are?

They estimate that we'll remain in overshoot unless we also address population.

You should get smaller boots.

Solutions do not spring from silence. We must bring population back to the centre of public discussion.

It means through Bernays techniques, through all media which this is part of, what I'm reading right here.

We need to break through the taboo to encourage not just a few voices but all those with relevant expertise to speak out on the subject loudly and often.

More propaganda; constant propaganda.

Recently I wondered what would happen if all the scientists - and everyone else considered a scholar of the population issue - spoke out all at once. Would it help to weaken the taboo now shackling the subject, pushing it closer to centre stage? Would it bring the matter enough attention to begin generating new or more widespread solutions? Might it prompt a deeper examination of our ecological plight? The Global Population Speak Out campaign

This is what they're calling it, you see, massive propaganda, well-funded by the same old players

has brought together over 100 voices from 19 countries, all pledging to speak out publicly on the population issue throughout the month of February, 2009.

You're going to get these articles, that's why it's all coming out at once, the same pabulum everywhere and the media, that's owned by the same big media boys, the big foundation boys, are going to make sure that you get nothing but the same propaganda, until you're all prattling it; and you'll go through stores and go into

restaurants and cafes and you'll hear everybody prattling about the same stuff and guiding you to the conclusions, without ever reasoning them through, with no background history on these people at all and they'll be all for it. 'Well I guess there's just too many of us'.

You know, people should see the movie by Monty Python, I think Terry Gilliam wrote it and it was called The Meaning of Life and see the first part of it, when they come into your door, in this comedy, to demand your liver, to get your liver from you; and, the technique they use on the wife of the victim to try to get her liver as well, to make her feel so small and insignificant, she says "ok then". This is the same technique and comedy can show you so much more, because they can say so much more, without being attacked. Quite something.

Here's another thing: it's not bad enough you see that we have been getting the Phthalates and the Bisphenol A and so on, from baby food, for the last 50 years right up to the present, through all kinds of foods and we're pretty well sterile, according to the major people who watch our populations and they admit it, that hardly a guy out there has workable sperm, it's all dysfunctional basically, it doesn't know where it's going, just like the people themselves. However, we're not dying fast enough, we're not becoming infertile fast enough, because the big boys have planned this and we must be altered and shaped and hammered to fit the timetable, this is why this roll is on now. They're not content to wait and go over their time limit that was probably arranged a hundred years ago. They're forcing it through now, so they've been sterilising us all along the way. Why haven't we been reacting to it? Why? Why? Well, here's an article here, just now released by the way, in major media, it's been talked about in other media but major media. It says:

Study Finds High-Fructose Corn Syrup Contains Mercury

It's in almost everything by the way, mercury; you wonder why we're all kind of dim? We don't react to anything and this is from the Washington Post. It says:

Another new reason to read all your food labels and stay away from high fructose corn syrup.

You can't, it's in everything, it's in candy, it's in bread, it's in everything. It says:

Two new U.S. studies have done tests on the high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS) found in everyday products in American households and found that half contained mercury.

I've told people before, and I really mean it when I say, you don't realise, that total warfare has been practised on you your entire lives. These accidents are no accidents, they don't happen as accidents. They knew the effects of mercury, Bisphenol A and the Phthalates back in the late 1800s, well-documented and I've gone through the documentation. It says:

the mercury was in nearly a third of 55 popular brand-name food and beverage products where HFCS is the first- or second-highest labelled ingredient, according to two new U.S. studies. The chemical was found most commonly in HFCS containing dairy products, dressings and condiments. Representatives from the industries that make the foods with HFCS, say the studies are based on outdated information

They're saying they use mercury-free versions now. Really? Really? Did you know they were using the mercury stuff all along, in all the processed foods? It's in all the soft drinks too, by the way. That's why they promote soft drinks, to the young; it's best to get mercury to the young first, because they really uptake it fast in their brains, if you want a dumb population.

Either way, HFCS isn't good for you and should be avoided but HFCS can be found in places you'd never suspect like yoghurt, breakfast bars, some next step infant formulas, ketchup of course, soda and countless other items. So to be sure to read all labels before giving any foods to children, pregnant women.

It says here:

The nervous system is very sensitive to all forms of mercury.

Well, yeah, they know that. Mercury, by the way, is interesting because that's the patron, that's the saint of merchants, mercenaries and thieves. Mercury is the messenger, we all get the message, whether you know it or not. We've all had it; they brought us the message, in our food. It says:

Metal mercury and metallic mercury vapours are more harmful than in other forms because more mercury in these forms reaches the brain.

Well, you see, that's what they've been using on all of these foods, they've been using this stuff to treat the foods and they've got these high contents of mercury in them. It's just astonishing, astonishing. That was the Washington Post.com. It even goes through how it's made, I never knew that myself; they don't really publish this stuff much, as to how they make it. It's all in the processing system they use. They used to also use hydrochloric acid and caustic soda, caustic soda and hydrochloric acid; but it's actually scary how they've done it. It says:

"The bad news is that nobody knows whether or not their soda or snack food contains HFCS made from ingredients like caustic soda contaminated with mercury. The good news is that mercury-free HFCS ingredients exist.

Well, they just don't label them.

Food companies just need a good push to only use those ingredients,"

It says. It's a bit late for that. I'll be back with more, after this break.

=== BREAK ===

Hi folks, I am Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix giving you the bad news, because there's lots of bad news out there. It's bad enough when you wake up and realise everything around you is a con and you're being guided by very high powers, coordinated, networking together towards a definite goal, all the on the same agenda; and, they have been doing it, your whole lives long and your parents and your grandparents too and probably before that as well. Total war is total war, you always go for the food, either kill the people quickly, or you can dumb them down, you can do all of that. There are plenty of examples in history of food being used and odd things added to it, to dumb people down. It's interesting too, that the food administration groups and so on are all denying it, 'oh, we've changed now'. However, let's go back to the study here:

Almost half of tested samples of commercial high-fructose corn syrup (HFCS) contained mercury,

This is present tense, even though they're claiming they don't do it anymore. You can't believe these liars can you?

which was also found in nearly a third of 55 popular brand-name food and beverage products where HFCS is the first- or second-highest labelled ingredient, according to two new U.S. studies. HFCS has replaced sugar as the sweetener in many beverages and foods such as breads, cereals, breakfast bars, lunch meats, yogurts, soups and condiments.

What do they have everyone on today? Fast food, canned food, it's all processed foods.

On average, Americans consume about 12 teaspoons per day of HFCS, but teens and other high consumers can take in 80 percent more

Exactly the target group they're after.

more HFCS than average.

Because the uptake of mercury into the brain is much faster when you're young. This is total war. You don't make these mistakes. They stopped using mercury as a treatment for syphilis, at the beginning of the 1900s, they had all of its toxic effects well understood and labelled and yet here they are, the big boys who took over the food industry, giving us all mercury and we've all got it. Some more than others, but we've all got it. It says:

Mercury is toxic in all its forms. Given how much high-fructose corn syrup is consumed by children, it could be a significant additional source of mercury never before considered.

Who's kidding who? Who is kidding who? How can we have all these different agencies to protect us and we get poisoned like this? The same agencies that allowed the Phthalates and so on to go through for donkey's years, as we all became neutered; but then you look who's on the Board of the FDA and all the rest of them, same in every country, it's the guys who work for the big corporations and Pharma, that just come over from one corporation and jump on this one that's going to protect us.

I always think of Huxley too, when he talked about using drugs and everything on the public, to get them through this period, you know, into Scientific Dictatorship, he says what's wrong with drugging the people, what's wrong with it?. Well why not just lower their IQ? They'll never figure anything out then, they won't even respond or react. Does that start to answer a lot of questions for you, when you see those dull eyes out there?

Well, from Hamish and myself in Ontario, Canada, it's goodnight; and may your God, or your gods, go with you.

Transcribed by Bill Scott.

Read: 'The Next Million Years,' by Charles Galton Darwin"

Topics of show covered in following links:

["Two children should be limit, says green guru" \(timesonline.co.uk\) - Feb. 1, 2009.](#)

["Is Europe's welfare system a model for the 21st century?" by Katrin Bennhold \(iht.com\) - Jan. 27, 2009.](#)

["Population: The elephant in the room" by John Feeney \(news.bbc.co.uk\) - Feb. 2, 2009.](#)

["Study Finds High-Fructose Corn Syrup Contains Mercury" \(washingtonpost.com\) - Jan. 28, 2009.](#)

["Studies find mercury in high fructose corn syrup which is in many products" by Angele Sionna \(examiner.com\) - Jan. 30, 2009.](#)

Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:

- | | | |
|---------------------|--|---|
| <u>BOOKS</u> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."
Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:
"Esperando el Milagro....." (Español) & "Esperando um Milagre....." (Português) |
| <u>CDs</u> | Ancient Religions and History MP3 CDs:
Part 1 (1998) and Part 2 (1998-2000) | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix' Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc) |
| <u>DVDs</u> | "Reality Check Part 1" Esoterica and ...TIME" | & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom, |